							Jusc		_		
स्क्रीय परी प्रमुख		EXEC	UTIVE	SECRET	ARIAT						
		and the second		ng Slip							
9	31.247						4		~		
O: [44,7	ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL]				
	1	DCI		1							
	2	DDCi				Branchine				· 🔨	
	3	EXDIR		CADVANC	ECY PI	(פשפיעם)		•			
3	4	D/ICS	.96/96						٠.		• •
E	5.	DDI	1000		数型流				•		•
Z L	6	DDA						•			
ĿĹ	7	DDO -	t and Park							•	•
• L	8	DDS&T									
	9	Chm/NIC				agirt again					
	10	GC _→								-	
-	11.	IG								•	
-	12	Compt	24374			.40.					
· }		D/EE0								,	
-		D/Pers				i.				•	
		D/OEA					40				
-		C/PAD/OEA	40.43	ti santangan kalanda							
-		SA/IA				• • •		40			
		AO/DCI									
-		C/IPD/OIS			20, 54, 46,				•	•	
-	_	E2				-			÷	. 3	
<u> </u>	1							M.	• •	•	•
2	2							•	* *	•	
		SUSPENSE		Date				• • •			
rks:								• •			
	٠.										
								• .	2		
								7.	•		
								•			-
			ve a A il ve	e ingles in l Sakh Janter							
					Exect	utive Secre	tary	- - ~``{`	•		
3 1)		and the second of the second o				41/80	- 1				
						e socie]				
						·	7	•	• • • •		
31)					Acres de la State de Lace		Dote	Executive Secretary /#// Date	Dote	Date	bote

B204 (NEC)

Approved For Release 2007/08/13: CIA-RDP83M00914R002700180001-7

Executive Registy

82-12792

29 October 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director

FROM:

Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

Agency's Relationship with the PFIAB

1. I think we should have a review of the Agency's relationship with the PFIAB. I'd like to know the frequency with which the past Directors and Deputies met with the PFIAB since its inception, the number, tempo and nature of the subjects which the PFIAB raised with the Agency, and anything that can be developed about results and contributions derived from this process.

- 2. My purpose in this is the notion that earlier PFIABs tackled a few important subjects and did something substantial and concrete with them, whereas I am a little concerned about the current PFIAB's attempts to scatter their seed, poke into everything, important and unimportant, and consume a lot of time and effort that could otherwise be devoted to certain policymakers.
- 3. Did the earlier PFIABs have a subcommittee structure? My recollection when I was there was that now and then three or four members would take a look at economic intelligence, competitive analysis, control of the sea lanes, etc. They would do a substantial piece of work and weren't poking into 43 different subjects.

William J. Casey

Will out 1

25X1